From Bifunctional to Trifunctional (Tricomponent Nucleophile—Transition Metal—Lewis Acid) Catalysis: The Catalytic, Enantioselective α-Fluorination of Acid Chlorides
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ABSTRACT: We report in full detail our studies on the catalytic, asymmetric α-fluorination of acid chlorides, a practical method that produces an array of α-fluorocarboxylic acid derivatives in which improved yield and virtually complete enantioselectivity are controlled through electrophilic fluorination of a ketene enolate intermediate. We discovered, for the first time, that a third catalyst, a Lewis acid, could be introduced into a dually activated system to amplify yields of aliphatic products, primarily through activation of the fluorinating agent. Through our mechanistic studies (based on kinetic data, isotopic labeling, spectroscopic measurements, and theoretical calculations) we were able to utilize our understanding of this “trifunctional” reaction to optimize the conditions and obtain new products in good yield and excellent enantioselectivity.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the use of fluorinated molecules has greatly increased in medicinal and pharmaceutical chemistry alike; we believe that chemists now rely on fluorination as a prime weapon in their search for efficacy.1,2 All too often though, they depend upon synthetic chemistry that has barely kept pace, especially in the area of asymmetric fluorination.3 The number of drug candidates that contain fluorine has increased dramatically over the past few years, and the ability to install fluorine (in many cases alpha to a carbonyl group)4 with stereocontrol has been increasing in importance.5 Because of the unique properties of the fluorine atom, including its high electronegativity, small atomic radius, and high C−F bond strength, strategic fluorination can drastically alter the metabolism of a drug, its bioavailability, activity, or a host of other relevant properties. For example, one fluorine atom, placed tactically and enantioselectively within the candidate molecule, can lower the basicity of a nearby amino group and enhance N−H acidity; it may increase the acidity of a nearby carboxylic acid; it can block racemization at a chiral center or inhibit cytochrome P450 promoted oxidation of the fluorine center and nearby C−H bonds; and it may enhance binding within a receptor protein.5 Add to that the fact that new chiral drugs must be optically pure or else have an extraordinary reason not to be, and methods for the asymmetric installation of fluorine become more important.

Great strides in enantioselective α-fluorination have been made in the past few years in which β-keto esters, imides, and aldehydes serve as substrates to produce products in high enantioselectivity and yield.6 On the other hand, an appealing addition to the synthetic repertoire is a practical method for the enantioselective α-fluorination of ketene enolates (occurring at the complementary carboxylate oxidation state) that directly produces simple, optically enriched α-fluorinated carboxylic acid derivatives (Figure 1).7 Such a process would provide an entry to the synthesis of optically enriched fluorinated drugs, natural products, and other molecules of biochemical and synthetic interest.

In this paper, we present an asymmetric fluorination method that employs three catalysts working cooperatively (a chiral nucleophile, a transition metal catalyst, and an alkali metal Lewis acid, Figure 1) to afford virtually optically pure products with improved yields. In the search for higher yield and broader scope, we describe how the fluorination method has evolved from an unsatisfactory monofunctional system to a bifunctional procedure and, finally, with the inclusion of an alkali metal Lewis acid cocatalyst, a trifunctional process.8 Although mechanistically complex, the method is operationally simple and practical. Our rationale for a trifunctional catalytic system arose from an initial mechanistic study that is supported by theoretical calculations and verified by experimental findings.

Earlier Work. Some time ago, we began our search for a catalytic, enantioselective fluorination method with a monofunctional catalytic system (that employed benzoylquinine or benzoylquinidine as a chiral catalyst, Figure 2)9 to generate α-fluorinated products from acid chlorides I. This unsatisfactory experiment gave
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only small amounts of product, an outcome we rationalized by the capricious and unselective activity of the intermediate zwitterionic (ketene) enolate intermediate. This result motivated us to develop a bifunctional approach with the addition of an enolate coordinating transition metal complex \(^\text{10}\) \([\text{typically} \ (\text{dppp})\text{NiCl}_2, \ \text{trans-} (\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{PdCl}_2, \ \text{or} \ (\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{PtCl}_2]\) as a cocatalyst.\(^\text{11}\) Chiral ketene enolate intermediates 4 (from acid chlorides), further activated by a transition-metal-based cocatalyst, were efficiently fluorinated with commercially available \(\text{N-fluorodibenzenesulfonimide (NFSi)}\) to afford the presumed acyl imide 2. This intermediate was then quenched in situ by a variety of nucleophiles (NuH) to produce configurationally stable \(\text{R-fluorinated carboxylic acid derivatives} \ 3\). Depending on the workup conditions, a variety of highly optically active \(\text{R-fluorinated carboxylic acid derivatives} \) are made available by the choice of quenching nucleophile (Figure 2).\(^\text{12}\)

A standard reaction includes NFSi, Hünig’s base, and acid chloride 1 (1 equiv. each), 0.1 equiv of cinchona alkaloid catalyst, and 0.05 equiv of metal complex cocatalyst \([\ (\text{dppp})\text{NiCl}_2, \ \text{trans-} (\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{PdCl}_2, \ \text{or} \ (\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{PtCl}_2]\) in THF at \(-78^\circ\text{C}\) for 6–12 h, followed by a quench with the desired nucleophile, standard workup, and chromatography. With this method we could consistently produce products in superb ee (enantiomeric excess) and good to excellent yield only where \(\text{R} \) is an aromatic ring or otherwise conjugated system (Figure 3). Along with the aromatic substrates, much of the scope results from the quenching nucleophile; \(\text{R-fluorinated carboxylic acids}, \ \text{amides, esters, and even peptides are all accessible depending on the nucleophile employed to quench the reaction. For example, a water quench affords} \ \text{α-fluoro carboxylic acids, compounds that potentially are of broad utility as derivatizing agents. Weinreb amides can also be} \)

---

**Figure 1.** Catalytic, enantioselective \(\alpha\)-fluorination of acid chlorides.

**Figure 2.** Preliminary synthetic outline.

**Figure 3.** Initial mechanistic outline.
readily obtained, thus allowing access to α-fluoro ketones. As per usual for cinchona alkaloid-promoted ketene enolate reactions, both antipodes of the product are available in similarly high ee by selection of either BQd (benzoylquinidine) or its “pseudoenantiomer” BQ (benzoylquinine). On the other hand, most aliphatic substrates (R = alkyl) worked poorly, initially producing the desired fluorinated products in low yield. As our major remaining goal was to provide a more broadly applicable method of α-fluorination, the introduction of a third catalyst to the system was investigated. We now report in detail a trifunctional (or “tricomponent”) method that increases product yield and scope while maintaining excellent enantioselectivity, and recount our rationale behind this approach as well.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Initial Kinetic Studies on the Bifunctional System. Intensive kinetic study on catalytic, asymmetric reactions has proven to be an excellent starting point to optimize reaction conditions, as well as to postulate a mechanism. Our investigations began by altering the concentration of a single reagent and measuring the rate of product formation as compared to the unmodified reaction. For example, to five dry flasks were added trans-(PPh$_3$)$_2$PdCl$_2$ (0.05 equiv) and benzoylquinidine (BQd, 0.1 equiv). Under a nitrogen atmosphere, 1 mL of THF was added to each flask and the solutions were cooled to −78 °C. Hünig’s base (1.1 equiv) was added next to each mixture, followed by a solution of N-fluorodibenzenesulfonimide (NFSi, 1 equiv) in 0.33 mL of THF. After 5 min, a solution of phenylacetyl chloride (1 equiv) in 0.66 mL of THF was added to each reaction mixture. At time intervals of 30, 40, 50, 60, and 70 s, MeOH (0.5 mL) was injected to quench each reaction separately, and all were then allowed to warm to 25 °C overnight. The measured rates were then extrapolated to 0% conversion.

A surprise emerged while discerning the order of each reagent; the rate of reaction is inversely proportional to the concentration of Hünig’s base in the regime of 0.5–1.1 equiv, whereas it is proportional at low concentrations (0.01–0.05 equiv). A number of rationales are conceivable: namely, Hünig’s base may be responsible for ketene dimerization; it may react with NFSi in a nonproductive way; or it may bind to the metal cocatalyst or act to convert the Pd(II) catalyst to Pd(0) at high concentrations (Figure 3). Each of these possibilities should reveal a quantifiable trace. For example, ketene dimers can be readily isolated, but no dimer products were identified in any reaction mixtures. Likewise, when NFSi was mixed with Hünig’s base at −78 °C, no interaction between the two species was detected by $^{19}$F NMR (although they do react readily at 25 °C). Outside of the unusual rate dependence on Hünig’s base, BQd and the acid chloride each displayed a proportional dependence on the rate of acid chloride consumption, while there was no observed dependence on this rate with NFSi or the Pd catalyst. The results from the bifunctional kinetic experiments are summarized in Table 1.

KIE Studies. Kinetic isotope effect (KIE) studies can also shed light on the reaction mechanism. For example, observation of a primary KIE upon introduction of deuterium labels in the α-position of the acid chloride would indicate rate-determining dehydrohalogenation, whereas an inverse secondary isotope effect could point instead toward rate-limiting fluorination (scenario a, Figure 4). The rate of product formation for α,α-dideuterophenylacetyl chloride compared with phenylacetyl chloride gave an observed KIE of 3.12, which indicates that dehydrohalogenation may be rate-limiting.

There also exists another possibility; the observed isotope value could be indicative of an equilibrium isotope effect. We hypothesized that reversible enolate formation (and the effect of the cocatalyst thereupon) could be documented by introduction of the DCl salt of Hünig’s base and quantification of the label in the product (scenario b, Figure 4). In the experiment, no incorporation of deuterium was seen in THF as the solvent. As methylene chloride also works well with this reaction system and possesses the ability to solubilize Hünig’s base salts, it was tested to determine whether the lack of incorporation occurred due to insolubility. Still, no incorporation was seen, suggesting that reversible enolate formation does not occur, thus negating the possibility of an equilibrium isotope effect. Finally, through analysis of the rate of acid chloride consumption, it was evident that the rate of reaction is proportional to the concentration of the acid chloride and base, confirming dehydrohalogenation as the rate-determining step.

All in all, these kinetic data suggest that a slow addition of Hünig’s base might be beneficial to take advantage of its peculiar concentration dependence on the initial rate of product formation. In a standard fluorination of octanoyl chloride, an increased yield of 60% (from 40%) was obtained upon slow addition of Hünig’s base over 12 h (quenched with aniline, >99% ee). For hydrocinnamoyl chloride, the yield increases from 4% to 22% to 35% when the slow addition is increased from zero time to 12 to 24 h, respectively (aniline quenched, 99% ee). This result prompted a series of experiments to determine the optimum slow addition length of time of Hünig’s base. When increasing the slow addition time to 24 h, the yield did not increase past 60% for any aliphatic acid chloride tested with the notable exception of the quirky substrate phthalimidopropionyl chloride (which contains a metal binding site), and longer slow additions over 48 h showed no signs of improvement. Most aliphatic acid chlorides treated with NFSi and a slow addition of Hünig’s base showed similar improvements in yield. While the slow addition of Hünig’s base was an important discovery, we envisioned that a Lewis acid could selectively enhance the electrophilicity of NFSi and thereby increase the yield of the desired product. Nevertheless, the kinetic measurements were less illuminating than desired as the first (and least interesting) step proved to be rate-determining.

A Trifunctional System. The possibility of trifunctional catalysis came to mind when investigating ways to improve the

Table 1. Effect of Reagents on the Initial Rate of Reaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>reagent</th>
<th>rate dependence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BQd</td>
<td>[BQd]$^{a,b}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>acid chloride</td>
<td>[acid chloride]$^{a,b}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>NFSi</td>
<td>0$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>trans-(PPh$_3$)$_2$PdCl$_2$</td>
<td>0$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hünig’s base</td>
<td>1/[Hünig’s base]$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Hünig’s base</td>
<td>[Hünig’s base]$^b$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ When the concentration of the specified reagent is altered, the initial rate of acid chloride consumption changes by the factor indicated.

$^b$ When the concentration of the specified reagent is altered, the initial rate of product formation changes by the factor indicated.
yield of aliphatic acid chlorides. We speculated that a second Lewis acid could specifically coordinate with the NFSi, thereby increasing its electrophilicity. Among various metals tested for this purpose (Table 2),18 earlier work on Lewis acid catalysis by Nelson19 inspired us to try both LiClO4 and LiPF6, which turned out to be the only two metal salts that gave unambiguously increased yields (no difference in yield was seen by changing the counterion).20 Additionally, when 10 mol % LiClO421 was added to a standard reaction with isovaleryl chloride (no slow addition), an increase in yield of the fluorinated product from 15% to 50% was observed (entries 14, 15). This demonstrates the potential power of the trifunctional catalytic system, at least for reactions that suffer from low yield. When lithium addition is combined with the slow addition of Hüning’s base over 12 h, the yield increases further to 73% (Table 2, entry 15).

Table 2. Trifunctional Catalysis Screening

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>third catalyst (equiv)</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>NuH</th>
<th>% yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>CH2Ph</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>LiPF6·THF (0.1)</td>
<td>CH2Ph</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>LiClO4 (1)</td>
<td>CH2Ph</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>trace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>LiClO4 (0.1)</td>
<td>CH2Ph</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>La(OTf)3 (0.1)</td>
<td>CH2Ph</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Sm(OTf)3 (0.1)</td>
<td>CH2Ph</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Yb(OTf)3 (0.1)</td>
<td>CH2Ph</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>LiClO4 (0.1)</td>
<td>CH2Ph</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>trace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>(PPh3)2Pd(ClO4)2 (0.05)</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>trace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>CH2Ph</td>
<td>PhNH2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>CH2Ph</td>
<td>PhNH2</td>
<td>22°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>LiClO4 (0.1)</td>
<td>CH2Ph</td>
<td>PhNH2</td>
<td>39°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>LiClO4 (0.1)</td>
<td>CH2Ph</td>
<td>PhNH2</td>
<td>44°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>i-Pr</td>
<td>PhNH2</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>LiClO4 (0.1)</td>
<td>i-Pr</td>
<td>PhNH2</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>LiClO4 (0.1)</td>
<td>i-Pr</td>
<td>PhNH2</td>
<td>73°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Reaction conditions: 1 equiv of NFSi, 1 equiv of acid chloride, 0.1 equiv of BQd, 0.05 equiv of trans-(PPh3)2PdCl2, 1.1 equiv of Hüning’s base, THF, −78 °C, followed by nucleophilic quench at −78 °C after 8 h. b No trans-(PPh3)2PdCl2 catalyst. c Slow addition of Hüning’s base over 12 h. d Slow addition of Hüning’s base over 24.

Table 3. Products from α-Aliphatic Acid Chloride Substrates under Optimized Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>product</th>
<th>yield</th>
<th>ee (de)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>&gt;99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>&gt;99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>&gt;99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>&gt;99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>&gt;99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>(&gt;99%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>&gt;99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>&gt;99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>&gt;99%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Reaction conditions: 1 equiv of NFSi, 1 equiv of acid chloride, 0.1 equiv of LiClO4, 0.1 equiv of BQd, 0.05 equiv of trans-(PPh3)2PdCl2, 1.1 equiv of Hüning’s base (slow addition over 12 h), THF, −78 °C, followed by nucleophilic quench after slow addition at −78 °C. b BQ was used instead of BQd.

addition of base) that gave a good yield and excellent enantioslectivity. It is important to highlight that octanoyl chloride gave an 83% yield (Table 3, entry 1) when 10 mol % LiClO4 was used...
in addition to the slow addition of Hüning’s base, up from 60% yield with solely the slow addition. In every instance, the combination of the slow addition and the catalyst trio resulted in a remarkable increase in yield from what was often originally a trace amount of product. Slow addition by itself typically produces yields about 25%–50% less than those by lithium addition.

“Knockout” Kinetic Experiments: Subdivision of the Reaction into Steps. As the rate-determining step occurs so early in the reaction sequence, it leaves the most interesting steps (which crucially affect the chemoselectivity of the reaction) “downstream.” Given the complexity of the reaction, it makes sense to subdivide the overall reaction into four discrete processes: dehydrohalogenation of the acid chloride 1 (which is rate-determining); fluorination to form a chiral acylanilmonium-sulfonimide 5 (enantioselectivity-determining); acylation of the sulfonimide anion to form 2, and finally, transacylation by an adventitious nucleophile to provide 3. The downside of such a subdivision is that each of the pieces studied separately departs from a holistic ideal and could change its character when separated from the others.

The nature of the first step is clear enough from our overall kinetic study. What about the second, enantioselectivity-determining step? In prior work, we have been able to gain additional insight into complex reactions involving ketenes by preformation of the ketene to study reaction rates that happen after the rate-determining step. A simple approach was thus taken to understand the stand the complexities of the fluorination step. In the event, we employed high concentrations of BQd and the preformed ketene (pseudo first order conditions). In this scenario, we do not have to worry about catalyst turnover due to slow acylation of sulfonimide; in essence, we obviate this step, as a reaction quench with solely the slow addition. In every instance, the combination of the slow addition and the catalyst trio resulted in a remarkable increase in yield from what was often originally a trace amount of product. Slow addition by itself typically produces yields about 25%–50% less than those by lithium addition.

Table 4. Investigations on the Rate of Fluorination with Preformed Methylketene

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>reagent change</th>
<th>rate factor increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>[NFSi] → 2x [NFSi]</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>[trans-(PPh3)2PdCl2] → 2x [trans-(PPh3)2PdCl2]</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>no LiClO4 → 0.1 equiv LiClO4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Reaction conditions: 1 equiv of NFSi, excess methylketene, 1.1 equiv of BQd, 0.05 equiv of trans-(PPh3)2PdCl2, THF, −78 °C, followed by nucleophilic quench at −78 °C. Rate of product formation.

Figure 5. Possible Roles of LiClO4.

with ClO4− on the Pd(II) catalyst. However, when the Pd cocatalyst was replaced with (PPh3)2Pd(ClO4)2 or (PPh3)2Pd(ClO4)Cl, the reaction gave only trace amounts of product (Table 2, entry 9), suggesting that the lithium salt does not perturb the transition metal catalyst and that both metals need to act independently of each other in order to administer their effect.

Interestingly, UV measurements show that the addition of LiClO4 (1 equiv, 0.0045 mmol) to phenylethylketene (1 equiv, 0.0045 mmol) in the presence of BQd (1 equiv, 0.0045 mmol) in THF (3 mL) diminishes the concentration of the ketene enolate at −78 °C by 8.5% (Figure 6, EQ-B). By contrast, Pd(II) acts to promote ketene enolate concentration. When 1 equiv of the lithium salt is added to a fluorination reaction of hydrocinnamoyl chloride with slow addition of Hüning’s base, only trace amounts of product were obtained (Table 1, entry 3). This was a surprising result because a large increase in lithium was expected to demonstrate better binding with NFSi, resulting in improved yield. One possibility is that LiClO4 binds to BQd, quenching both catalysts (Figure 5, EQ-C), but when 1 equiv of BQd and LiClO4 were mixed together in THF, no binding was observed by 1H NMR or IR spectroscopy. Another option is that lithium preferentially binds to the ketene (as opposed to the enolate). This would explain the increase in ketene concentration (and decrease in ketene enolate concentration) that was observed by UV. Since these isolated situations do not always account for our experimental observations, another scenario was considered. As NFSi binds to lithium, this must allow enough of the ketene to operate independently of lithium when all the reagents are added together. When excess lithium was used, it may severely reduce the concentration of ketene enolate so much so that the reaction barely proceeds. A balance must be achieved with the third
catalyst to achieve a superior benefit in yield, where 10 mol % was found to be the optimum concentration for substrates.

When 1 equiv of TMEDA is added to a fluorination reaction (1 equiv of hydrocinnamoyl chloride, NFSi, and Hüning’s base, plus 0.1 equiv of BQd at –78 °C in THF), the yield increases to 20% from trace amounts, but the ee erodes to 50%. When 1 equiv of lithium is added under the same reaction conditions, the yield drops to 10% (from 20%), but the ee is restored to >99%. The added TMEDA mimics the catalytic role of BQd but lacks the ability to induce optical activity to the product. The addition of lithium sequesters the TMEDA, preventing the achiral base from participating in the reaction, and restoring the ee (Figure 6).25 Remarkably, lithium does not hinder BQ or BQd in a similar way but acts only to promote the reaction.

The rate enhancement imparted by lithium in our kinetic study of the ketene enolate fluorination step (ca. 20%), while significant, may not explain completely the increase in yield. One other putative role of lithium may be as a catalyst for the acylation of the quenching nucleophile. To shed light on this possibility, the methanolysis of an acylsulfonimide control was also studied (Figure 7). If lithium binds to NFSi and increases its electrophilicity, it should also bind to acylsulfonimides in a similar fashion and increase their susceptibility to nucleophilic attack. In the event, the acylsulfonimide was allowed to react with MeOH in THF at 25 °C, in one instance with 10 mol % lithium perchlorate present and in the other instance with no lithium source. The extent of methanolysis was monitored by 19F NMR; as expected, the inclusion of a lithium ion in the reaction step (ca. 20%), while significant, may not explain completely the increase in yield. One other putative role of lithium may be as a catalyst for the acylation of the quenching nucleophile. To shed light on this possibility, the methanolysis of an acylsulfonimide control was also studied (Figure 7). If lithium binds to NFSi and increases its electrophilicity, it should also bind to acylsulfonimides in a similar fashion and increase their susceptibility to nucleophilic attack. In the event, the acylsulfonimide was allowed to react with MeOH in THF at 25 °C, in one instance with 10 mol % lithium perchlorate present and in the other instance with no lithium source. The extent of methanolysis was monitored by 19F NMR; as expected, the inclusion of a lithium ion in the reaction step (ca. 20%), while significant, may not explain completely the increase in yield.

The rate enhancement imparted by lithium in our kinetic study of the ketene enolate fluorination step (ca. 20%), while significant, may not explain completely the increase in yield. One other putative role of lithium may be as a catalyst for the acylation of the quenching nucleophile. To shed light on this possibility, the methanolysis of an acylsulfonimide control was also studied (Figure 7). If lithium binds to NFSi and increases its electrophilicity, it should also bind to acylsulfonimides in a similar fashion and increase their susceptibility to nucleophilic attack. In the event, the acylsulfonimide was allowed to react with MeOH in THF at 25 °C, in one instance with 10 mol % lithium perchlorate present and in the other instance with no lithium source. The extent of methanolysis was monitored by 19F NMR; as expected, the inclusion of a lithium ion in the reaction step (ca. 20%), while significant, may not explain completely the increase in yield. One other putative role of lithium may be as a catalyst for the acylation of the quenching nucleophile. To shed light on this possibility, the methanolysis of an acylsulfonimide control was also studied (Figure 7). If lithium binds to NFSi and increases its electrophilicity, it should also bind to acylsulfonimides in a similar fashion and increase their susceptibility to nucleophilic attack. In the event, the acylsulfonimide was allowed to react with MeOH in THF at 25 °C, in one instance with 10 mol % lithium perchlorate present and in the other instance with no lithium source. The extent of methanolysis was monitored by 19F NMR; as expected, the inclusion of a lithium ion in the reaction step (ca. 20%), while significant, may not explain completely the increase in yield.

Transition Metal Roles. (PPh3)2PdCl2 was also tested for possible binding to BQd. Not surprisingly, when investigated by 1H, 13C, and 31P NMR and IR spectroscopy, no apparent binding was observed in THF at 25 °C. Next, (PPh3)2PdCl2 was examined for any potential interaction with NFSi. Monitoring by 19F NMR and IR shows no change in the chemical shift of NFSi in THF at 25 °C or its absorbance profile, which suggests that no binding to NFSi occurs.

When Hüning’s base is mixed with an equimolar amount of [(tris(4-butylphenyl)phosphine)2]PtCl2 (used as a highly soluble surrogate for trans-(PPh3)2PdCl2 that performs equally well in fluorination reactions) and monitored by UV spectroscopy, the resulting absorbance at 202 nm is larger than the individual absorbances at 202 nm of each reagent added together, an observation that points toward metal complexation (Figure 8).26 The &lambda; max shifts slightly upon mixing to a single maximum at 202 nm at 25 °C (the &lambda; max of Hüning’s base is at 207 nm, and the &lambda; max of the metal is at 203 nm). Another question concerns whether the metal catalyzes the oxidation of Hüning’s base; acetone can usually be found (clearly identifiable by 13C NMR) in crude reaction mixtures in which Hüning’s base is oxidized,27 yet none was observed by NMR in the mixture. The role of Hüning’s base is as the terminal HCl acceptor in the shuttle base system where it regenerates the kinetic base, BQd; however, if its concentration builds beyond which is necessary for its primary function, it may bind to the metal catalyst, thereby reducing its efficacy.28
Figure 9. Rate experiments with lithium cocatalyst.

Figure 10. Transacylation crossover experiments.

Lastly, the rate of starting material consumption on the entire reaction was again studied, this time with the addition of the new alkali metal (Figure 9). The kinetic experiments show that the rate of acid chloride consumption has no dependence on the concentration of lithium perchlorate, confirming that it plays no identifiable part in the rate-limiting dehydrohalogenation step.

Transacylation Experiments. One outstanding ambiguity is how transacylation of the intermediate acyl ammonium salt (Figure 10, 5) occurs. Transfer of fluorine to the ketene enolate is expected to form a tight ion pair that can undergo transacylation. However, in THF solvent, H⁺ is expected to form a tight ion pair that can undergo transacylation. Nevertheless, when (PhSO₂)₂NH is treated with 1 equiv of H⁺, proton transfers to be fast. A control experiment showed that when (PhSO₂)₂NH is treated with 1 equiv of H⁺, deprotonation of the sulfonimide occurs almost quantitatively by ¹H NMR (eq 1). One way to shed light on catalyst turnover is a crossover experiment, employing ¹⁵N labeled sulfonimide (Figure 10). "Shuffling" of sulfonimide ions through solvent separation of ion pairs should result in incorporation of the label, which can be detected in the intermediate acylsulfonimide by a ¹⁵F – ¹⁵N or ¹H – ¹⁵N vicinal coupling during an in situ NMR experiment. Indeed, when 0.15 equiv of ¹⁵N labeled dibenzenesulfonimide is added to a standard fluorination reaction with 1 equiv of phenylacetyl chloride, incorporation is seen in the splitting of the ¹⁹F NMR signals of the crude, unquenched reaction at 25 ºC. However, when the reaction is monitored at −78 ºC, no incorporation is seen. This is consistent with a tight ion pair at low temperature that does not solvent separate; instead, it turns over the chiral catalyst through transacylation and does not "shuffle." Not surprisingly, species 2 was found to be stable enough to exist at 25 ºC in solution, but it could not be isolated efficiently. For example, quenching with methanol at −78 ºC, after the reaction was allowed to reach 25 ºC, still resulted in the formation of 3 in identical yield.

Computational Studies. Computational chemistry is often used to account for stereochemical and energetic preferences, and we took advantage of modeling programs to gain insight into the observed enantioselectivity and reaction energetics. Several calculations were performed on various possible transition states for fluorination at the Kohn–Sham hybrid DFT B3LYP level (Figure 11). In two of the transition state (TS) metal-coordinated cases (TS-B, and TS-D), the Pd(II) is bound to the ketene enolate oxygen. These transition states revealed that TS-B is lower in free energy than the uncoordinated case (TS-A) by 7.7 kcal/mol. When compared to starting fragments, TS-D is lower than TS-B by 11.8 kcal/mol and 19.5 kcal/mol lower than TS-A (we know that the Pd(II) binds to the ketene enolate, enhancing its chemoselectivity and increasing its concentration). TS-C is only slightly lower in energy than TS-A (1.6 kcal/mol), suggesting that TS-C is unlikely in light of the other possible transition states that are much lower in energy. TS-D is the lowest energy transition state (and thus the most likely pathway), lengthening the partial C=O bond in an evidently "earlier," more exothermic, transition state. The lower energy of TS-D suggests that the addition of both Li(I) and Pd(II) could result in a lower transition state energy that favors the desired product formation, a fact that was also demonstrated experimentally. Replacing BQ with BQd gives similar results, and TS-A, TS-B, TS-C, and TS-D then give ΔG° = 30.1, 22.3, 28.4, and 10.5 kcal/mol respectively. Transition state calculations using BQ predict the stereoselectivity at the fluorinated carbon as S while BQd gives R; this corresponds to what is observed experimentally.

A Unified Mechanistic Scenario. The complete mechanistic outline is proposed in Figure 12. First the acid chloride undergoes a rate-determining dehydrohalogenation to form the basic ketene enolate involving the chiral nucleophilic catalyst. Next, the ketene enolate can either react with NFSi, NFSi bound to lithium, or bind to the palladium cocatalyst, which can then go on to react with NFSi in the same fashion. Note that the kinetic data on the "knock out" reaction reflect these conclusions; although the rates of reaction increase with each introduction of a cocatalyst, the reaction also proceeds to a measurable extent in the absence of the cocatalysts, especially in the case of Li⁺. Thus, the "monofunctional" and "bifunctional" reaction terms must be taken into account in the overall equation.
In Figure 12, side reactions that happen at the ketene enolate stage producing unwanted side products are not shown. The four kinetic pathways represented by \((k_3, k_4, k_5, k_6)\) after the RDS each have a different rate. Addition of Pd to the reaction increases the rate of product formation, so \(k_5[\text{Pd}]\) is larger than \(k_4\). The rate dependence on Pd(II) shows the Pd coordinated reaction pathways are dominant compared to the transition-metal-free pathways. Since addition of lithium and Pd increases the rate of product formation beyond the rate represented by \(k_5\), pathways involving \(k_6\) or \(k_3\) must be faster than those involving \(k_5\).

Additionally, when lithium is added without Pd, the reaction affords product very slowly, which indicates that \(k_3\) represents a slow path. Of course, the pathway involving \(k_4\) is a minor contributor as well. The kinetic data also agree that the rate of product formation is much greater if not dominant for the addition of lithium with Pd vs the rate of product formation with only lithium. This leaves the trifunctional pathway, characterized by \(k_6\), as potentially the fastest. The resulting rate equation for the trifunctional system, focusing on the isolated fluorination step after “knock out,” reflects contributions from competing bifunctional and monofunctional pathways, shown in eq 2, with the dominant pathways highlighted in blue. In the final reaction step, the chiral catalyst is released through transacylation of the bis(sulfonimide). The resulting acylsulfonimide intermediate is then quenched by a nucleophile to yield product, a process that is catalyzed by a lithium ion as well (3).

**Conclusion.** We have chronicled the evolution of a practical procedure for the catalytic, asymmetric \(\alpha\)-fluorination of acid chlorides.
Figure 12. A unified mechanistic proposal.

Through the knowledge that we gained from reaction development in tandem with mechanistic studies, we were able to develop a new trifunctional catalytic system that affords, in a wide scope, optically active, fluorinated amides, esters, and other carboxylic acid derivatives in fair to high yield and excellent enantioselectivity, directly, from a variety of acid chlorides. In so doing, we are able to propose a mechanistic scenario that accounts for the very diverse aspects of this polyfunctional catalytic system and to provide a basis for the further study of polycomponent catalysis.

■ EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Optimized a-Fluorination Procedure. To a dry 10 mL round-bottom flask equipped with a stir bar were added trans-Pd[PPh3]3Cl2 (5.8 mg, 0.0083 mmol), benzoquinidine (BQd, 7.1 mg, 0.0166 mmol), and LiClO4 (1.7 mg, 0.0166 mmol). Under a nitrogen atmosphere, 0.3 mL of THF was added and the solution was cooled to −78 °C. A solution of N-fluorodibenzenesulfonimide (NFSi, 52.3 mg, 0.166 mmol) was added via a syringe pump over 20 h, and the reaction was maintained at −78 °C for an additional 2 h. Aniline (0.018 mL, 0.2 mmol) was added at −78 °C and the reaction was allowed to warm to 25 °C overnight. The solvents were removed, and the crude mixture was purified by column chromatography, eluting with a mixture of EtOAc and hexanes to give 23.9 mg of (R)-2-fluoro-3-methyl-N-phenylbutanamide (73% yield, >99% ee).
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