Metal-Catalyzed Benzylic Fluorination as a Synthetic Equivalent to 1,4-Conjugate Addition of Fluoride
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ABSTRACT: We explore in detail the iron-catalyzed benzylic fluorination of substrates containing aromatic rings and electron-withdrawing groups positioned β to one another, thus providing direct access to β-fluorinated adducts. This operationally convenient process can be thought of not only as a contribution to the timely problem of benzylic fluorination but also as a functional equivalent to a conjugate addition of fluoride, furnishing products in moderate to good yields and in excellent selectivity.

Over the past decade the demand for fluorine-enriched compounds has risen dramatically. Consequently, a host of fluorination strategies has evolved to aid the modern chemist in their syntheses. Despite a large repertoire of practical fluorination methods, the 1,4-addition of fluoride to α,β-un saturated carbonyl-containing compounds represents a longstanding problem. Of medicinal interest, hydrogen atoms β to a carbonyl are often labile and susceptible to enzymatic decomposition (e.g., in fatty acid catabolism). Accordingly, the replacement of a single hydrogen atom by fluorine has been shown to increase the chemical integrity of the parent molecule, improving its lifetime in vivo. It therefore stands to reason that a practical β-fluorination may prove to be a valuable transformation. Unfortunately, previous efforts exploring the use of cuprates (copper fluorides) have yet to afford a notable success, resulting in trace yields or limited selectivity. Perhaps this is no surprise; computationally, employing hybrid-DFT theory, the addition of dimethylcuprate is predicted to be much more thermodynamically favorable than the addition of CuF₂ (Figure 1). We envisioned an indirect approach in the absence of the alkene to circumvent this issue. Recently, our lab published an iron(II)-catalyzed system for the chemoselective benzylic fluorination of several alkylbenzenes using Selectfluor as a fluorinating agent. Our paper was among the first to provide a more general solution to what is proving to be a very timely problem. In this note, we explore in detail the iron-catalyzed benzylic fluorination of substrates containing aromatic rings and electron-withdrawing groups β (β) to one another to yield β-fluorinated products (Figure 2). This process can be thought of as a functional solution to the long-standing problem of mild conjugate addition of fluoride, affording products in good to moderate yields and in excellent selectivity. We surmised that this system could also be used as a surrogate to harsh, traditional methods involving nucleophilic-conjugate addition with hydrohalic acids, providing a direct, convenient route for site-specific β-fluorination. Remarkably, under our conditions α-fluorinated byproducts were not observed despite the well-documented background reaction between Selectfluor and various ketones. Also, several functional groups known for intolerance to Selectfluor persisted through the reaction conditions very well. Finally, it should be noted that the reaction is operationally simple and reliable.

We began our studies by examining several well-known, saturated variants of “Michael acceptors” under catalytic conditions. To our satisfaction, a host of β-fluorinated products were obtained in good yields and in outstanding selectivity (Table 1). Some noteworthy observations include (1) α-substituted carbonyls demonstrated a preference for syn addition of fluoride; (2) nitriles, aldehydes, and free acids were tolerated under our reaction conditions despite a perceived high propensity for deleterious side reactions with Selectfluor and various metal catalysts; (3) for substrates possessing multiple benzylic positions 3, 5, 9, and 12, fluorination of the least substituted carbon is preferred; (4) di fluorination and (5) α-fluorination are negligible. In addition, 1,3-aryl sulfones, ketones, and oxazolidinones were successfully β-fluorinated, the latter a being potentially useful auxiliary for developing an asymmetric variant of our reaction. An important note is that the use of other iron(II) and iron(III) salts or the corresponding Fe(acac)₃ failed to yield any appreciable quantities of fluorinated product.

Moreover, β-fluorinations of several pharmacologically efficacious scaffolds including cyclamen (3), the 3-phenylpropylester (9), chalcone (10), and the indane (11) were achieved. Among these structures, indane (11) proved a particularly interesting case. By crude ¹⁹F NMR, both trans and cis diastereomers are...
produced in a 3:1 ratio. However, upon purification by silica gel chromatography, only the cis diastereomer can be isolated (31%). In the case of the anti diastereomer, a rapid dehydrofluorination occurs to give the unsaturated indene as characterized by $^1$H NMR. The instability of the trans isomer relative to cis is rationalized given the ease of syn-elimination based on precedent in related systems (see Figure 3).\textsuperscript{13}

Degradation of the cis-diastereomer may be likewise expected, albeit at a much slower rate.

Although applicable to a wide survey of functional groups, yields trended for highly electron-withdrawing “Michael acceptors” in the general order COOMe > COOH > SO$_2$Ph > CN > NO$_2$ (trace amounts). This correlates nicely with relative $\sigma$ substituent values, advocating an increased reactivity of more oxidizable, electron-rich benzylic hydrogens toward fluorination, an unsurprising finding assuming the possible involvement of free radicals during the reaction.\textsuperscript{14,15} To elucidate the potential for radicals in our reaction, we envisioned the use of the strained cycloalkane norcarane \textsuperscript{16} as a radical clock. Although not a benzylic substrate per se, the cyclopropane ring is similarly activating. Homolytic cleavage of a C3 C–H bond should lead to product 17 following a rapid opening of the cyclopropyl ring and trapping with fluorine (Figure 4).\textsuperscript{16} In a similar fashion, $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated aryl ester 18

Table 1. Survey of Conjugate Addition Products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Yield</th>
<th>$\Delta E_{rel}$</th>
<th>Diastereoselectivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>0 kcal</td>
<td>$\text{syn}$: $\text{anti}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>20 kcal</td>
<td>$\text{syn}$: $\text{anti}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{syn}$: $\text{anti}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{syn}$: $\text{anti}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{syn}$: $\text{anti}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{syn}$: $\text{anti}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{syn}$: $\text{anti}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{syn}$: $\text{anti}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{syn}$: $\text{anti}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{syn}$: $\text{anti}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{syn}$: $\text{anti}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{syn}$: $\text{anti}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Yield determined by $^{19}$F NMR using 3-chlorobenzotrifluoride as an internal standard. Isolated as the major benzylic product with minor flourinated isomers. All reactions were run at room temperature for 24 h unless otherwise stated. Diastereoselectivity is reported as (syn:anti).
should be a propitious substrate to probe the generation of benzyl radicals. It is expected that formation of the corresponding benzyl radical could lead to the standard fluorinated product 19 and/or to the more diagnostic product 20 through a cyclization reaction. In both cases, these putative radical-derived products were observed by 19F NMR analysis of our reaction mixtures and identified by comparison to known literature values. In the case of 16, it should be noted that the primary fluoride 17 is still the predominant product. Whereas the formation of 17 is incompatible with an anionic mechanism, the formation of cyclized product 20 is incompatible with a cationic mechanism.

In conclusion, a convenient, mild route for the direct preparation of β-fluorinated, 3-phenyl propanoids has been presented. This protocol is operationally reliable and highly chemoselective and has been shown to tolerate a diverse array of functional groups. What is more, we demonstrate the ability of our reaction to act as a surrogate in the 1,4-conjugate addition of fluoride, thus providing an alternative to corrosive hydrofluoric acid protocols.

### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**General.** Unless otherwise stated, all reactions were carried out under strictly anhydrous, air-free conditions under nitrogen. All solvents and benzyl compounds were dried and distilled by standard methods.1H spectra were acquired on a 400 MHz NMR in CDCl3. 13C and 19F spectra were taken on a 300 MHz NMR in CDCl3. The 1H, 13C, and 19F chemical shifts are given in parts per million (δ) with respect to an internal tetramethylsilane (TMS, δ 0.00 ppm) standard and/or 3-chlorobenzotrifluoride (δ = -64.2 ppm relative to CFCl3).19 NMR data are reported in the following format: chemical shift (multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet), integration, coupling constants [Hz]). IR data were obtained using an FT-IR and standard NaCl cell. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded using ESI-TOF (electrospray ionization-time-of-flight) mass spectrometry. All measurements were recorded at 25 °C unless otherwise stated. Characterization of 3-fluoro-3-phenylpropanoic acid (1),20 methyl-3-fluoro-2-methyl-3-phenylpropanoate (8),21 and 3-fluoro-1,3-diphenylpropan-1-one (10)22 were consistent with the literature precedents. Compounds 4 and 11 are reported as crude products due to product decomposition. Spectral data was processed with ACD/NMR Processor Academic Edition.22

**General Procedure for the Syntheses of β-Fluorinated Products.** An oven-dried, 10-mL, round-bottom flask equipped with a stir bar was placed under an atmosphere of N2. Selectfluor (195.0 mg, 0.55 mmol, 2.2 equiv) and Fe(acac)3 (6.0 mg, 0.025 mmol, 0.1 equiv) were added followed by MeCN (3.0 mL). 3-Phenylpropiononitrile (32.8 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv) was then added, and the mixture allowed to stir overnight. The product was extracted into CH2Cl2 and washed with water. The organics were dried with MgSO4 and filtered through Celite. The solvents were removed by rotary evaporation, and the residue was subjected to column chromatography on silica with a mixture of ethyl acetate/hexanes as eluent to afford 3-fluoro-3-phenylpropanonitrile as a clear oil (16.4 mg, 44%).

**Computational Methods.** The Gaussian 0923 package and Spartan ’10 were used for all calculations. Chemical shifts of the products were computed using Gaussian at the B3LYP/6-311+G** level.24 Geometry optimizations of organocopper complexes were determined at the B3LYP/6-31G* (LANL2DZ on Cu) level.

**Compound Characterization.** 3-Fluoro-3-phenylpropanonitrile (1). Spectral and analytical data were in agreement with previous reports.20 Yield: (16.4 mg, 44%).

3-Fluoro-3-(4-isopropylphenyl)-2-methylpropanol (3). Clear oil, 1H NMR (CDCl3) δ 7.90 (dd, 1H, J = 2.2, 0.9 Hz), 9.76 (t, 1H, J = 1.2 Hz), 7.50–7.10 (m, 8H), 5.87 (dd, 1H, J = 46.7, 4.7 Hz), 5.57 (dd, 1H, J = 46.5, 8.3 Hz), 3.10–2.75 (m, 4H), 1.25 (d, 6H, J = 7.0 Hz), 1.25 (d, 6H, J = 8.3 Hz), 1.17 (dd, 3H, J = 7.2, 0.8 Hz), 0.96 (d, 3H, J = 7.2 Hz). 13C NMR (CDCl3) δ 202.2 (s, J = 3.7 Hz), 201.8 (s, J = 4.4 Hz), 195.6 (s), 150.9 (s), 150.0 (s), 149.8 (s), 137.6 (s), 134.9 (d, J = 20.5 Hz), 134.4 (d, J = 20.5 Hz), 130.3 (s), 126.9 (s), 126.8 (s), 126.7 (s), 126.4 (s), 125.6 (s), 125.5 (s), 94.6 (d, J = 172.6 Hz), 92.6 (d, J = 176.4 Hz), 52.5 (d, J = 23.4 Hz), 52.0 (d, J = 23.4 Hz), 34.1 (s), 33.9 (d, J = 4.4 Hz), 23.9 (s), 23.8 (s), 13.1 (s), 11.0 (s), 10.4 (d, J = 6.6 Hz), 8.07 (d, J = 5.1 Hz). 19F NMR (CDCl3) δ –171.5 (dd, 1F, J = 47.4, 15.5 Hz), –186.9 (dd, 1F, J = 46.4, 24.7 Hz). IR (CH3Cl) 1679 cm–1; HRMS (ESI+) calcld for C13H12F3ONa 231.1161, found 231.1169. Yield: (34.9 mg, 67%).

(1-Fluoro-2-(phenylsulfonyl)ethyl)benzene (4). Amorphous solid; 1H NMR (CDCl3) δ 8.0–7.63 (m, 10H), 6.11 (dd, 1H, J = 47.5, 9.4, 2.5 Hz), 3.83 (dd, 1H, J = 22.8, 13.4, 1.7 Hz), 3.49 (dd, 1H, J = 31.7, 15.3, 2.5 Hz); 13C NMR (CDCl3) δ 139.1 (s), 137.5 (s), 133.9 (s), 133.8 (s), 129.4 (s), 129.3 (s), 128.8 (s), 128.3 (s), 128.1 (s), 126.9 (s), 125.5 (d, J = 6.6 Hz), 88.5 (d, J = 177.1 Hz), 62.7 (d, J = 26.4 Hz); 19F NMR (CDCl3) δ –172.1 (dd, 1F, J = 46.4, 32.0, 13.4 Hz); IR (CH3Cl) 1087, 1151 cm–1; HRMS (ESI+) calcld for C13H12FO2Na 287.0518, found 287.0512. Yield: (29.7 mg, 45%).

1-Fluoro-1,5-diphenylpentan-3-one (5). Amorphous solid; 1H NMR (CDCl3) δ 7.45–7.15 (m, 10H), 6.01 (dd, 1H, J = 46.9, 8.9, 4.1 Hz), 3.2 (ddd, 1H, J = 14.7, 8.3, 2.5 Hz), 3.0–2.7 (m, 5H); 13C NMR (CDCl3) δ 205.8 (s), 142.6 (s), 140.7 (s), 139.2 (s), 139.0 (s), 133.9 (s), 133.8 (s), 129.4 (s), 129.3 (s), 128.8 (s), 128.3 (s), 128.1 (s), 126.9 (s), 125.5 (d, J = 6.6 Hz), 88.5 (d, J = 177.1 Hz), 62.7 (d, J = 26.4 Hz); 19F NMR (CDCl3) δ –172.1 (dd, 1F, J = 46.4, 32.0, 13.4 Hz); IR (CH3Cl) 1087, 1151 cm–1; HRMS (ESI+) calcld for C13H12FO2Na 287.0518, found 287.0512. Yield: (29.7 mg, 45%).
Ethyl-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-3-fluoropropanoate (13). Spectral and analytical data were in agreement with previous reports. Yield: (21.9 mg, 38%).

Ethyl-3-(3-methoxyphenyl)-3-fluoropropanoate (14). Spectral and analytical data were in agreement with previous reports. Yield: (24.3 mg, 43%).

Ethyl-3-(4-bromophenyl)-3-fluoropropanoate (15). Spectral and analytical data were in agreement with previous reports. Yield: (27.5 mg, 40%).
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